

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/986,503	11/09/2001	Tony Peled	01/22529	5534	
30623	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.			WITZ, JEAN C		
,	CIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02111		1651		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	,	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>					
Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/986	,503	PELED ET AL.				
		Examir	ier	Art Unit	_			
		Jean C.		1651				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and nly will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a replicated from thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>29 October 2</u> 0	<u>003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)[	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)⊠ # s 3 a 14)⊠ #	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. b) The translation of the foreign is Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see	y documents have by documents have by documents have by of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rion for a list of the cell for domestic priority led in the first sentental for domestic priority for domestic priority	een received. een received in Appments have been received in Appments have been recule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not recunder 35 U.S.C. § ace of the specification has been under 35 U.S.C. §§	clication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheen received. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/986,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-9 in Paper filed Oct. 3, 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicants are requested to cancel the non-elected claims in response to this office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The inclusion of the "-" between the term "composition" and the term "of" is confusing and improper. Removal is required.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,262.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositions of matter of claims 1-9 contain the complex of claim 7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

∄ean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651